

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,516	12/19/2003	Yu-Fu Fan	ACMP0172USA	1515
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			EXAMINER	
			DAO, MINH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	RY MODE
3 MONT	THS .	03/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/27/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/707,516	FAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	MINH D. DAO	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 January 2007</u> .						
,						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,8-10,17,18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-7 and 11-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/707,516

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1 Applicant's arguments filed 01/22/07 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pratt (US 6,591,198) in view of Gupta et al. (US 6,744,882) and further in view of Inoue (JP 10290282 A).

Regarding claim 1, Pratt teaches a method for automatically switching a profile of a mobile system (see figs. 1 and 5; col. 7, lines 20-34), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2618

(a)measuring a current environmental noise value of ambient noise surrounding the mobile system (see figs. 1,3,4,5; col. 7, lines 35-47; also see col. 1, line 41 to col. 7, line 20);

(b)comparing the current environmental noise value to a predetermined noise value (see figs. 1,3,4,5; col. 7, lines 35-47; also see col. 1, line 41 to col. 7, line 20). However, Pratt does not teach calculating a noise difference and switching the profile of the mobile system based on the value of the noise difference. This limitation is taught by Inoue in an analogous art (see Abstract and Solution of Inoue. In this case, the detected environment noise, the "level difference data" and the "calculated value" of Inoue read on the environmental noise, the predetermined noise value and the noise difference of the present invention respectively.

Still regarding claim 1, the combination of Pratt and Inoue does not mention that the mobile system (taught by Pratt) is a mobile phone. Gupta, in an analogous art, teaches a mobile phone capable of automatically changing the gain of its microphone based on a detected noise level (see col. 2, lines 16-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Gupta to Pratt and Inoue in order for the combined system to increase the gain of the microphone to compensate for the tendency of telephone users to speak more loudly in noisy environments (see col. 2, lines 16-32).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Pratt, Inoue and Gupta teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the current environmental noise value of ambient noise surrounding the mobile phone is detected with a microphone of the mobile phone (see abstract of Pratt).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Pratt, Inoue and Gupta teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the profile of the mobile phone is automatically switched only when a user of the mobile phone activates an automatic profile switching function (see claim 6 and figs. 4 and 5 of Pratt).

3. Claims 10,17,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pratt (US 6,591,198) in view of Shawahashi (EP 0682419 A2).

Regarding claim 10, the rejection of claim 1 over Pratt (US 6,591,198) set forth above is herein incorporated. However, Pratt fails to teach a mobile phone, antenna signal strength and signal strength difference. Shawahashi, in an analogous art, teaches a mobile station that measures an average value of received power transmitted from a base station, and detects the difference RSSI between the current value and the previous value. If the difference RSSI exceeds a reference value, a transmission power control is to reduce the transmission power of the mobile station (see Abstract of Shawahashi). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Shawahashi to

Application/Control Number: 10/707,516

Art Unit: 2618

Pratt in order for the combined system to reduce transmission power therefore to save

battery energy of the system as taught by Shawahashi.

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Pratt and Shawahashi teaches the method of

claim 1, wherein the current environmental noise value of ambient noise surrounding

the mobile phone is detected with a microphone of the mobile phone (see abstract of

Pratt).

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Pratt and Shawahashi teaches the method of

claim 1 wherein the profile of the mobile phone is automatically switched only when a

user of the mobile phone activates an automatic profile switching function (see claim 6

and figs. 4 and 5 of Pratt).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-7, 11-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 2 and 11, the combination of Pratt and Gupta teaches the method of

claims 1 and 10 respectively, but fails to teach that the method of claims 1 and 10

further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/707,516 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

(d) measuring a current antenna signal strength value from antenna signals received by the mobile phone; and

(e) comparing the current antenna signal strength value to a predetermined antenna signal strength value and calculating a signal strength difference; wherein step (c) further comprises switching the profile of the mobile phone based on the values of the noise difference and the signal strength difference as specified in the claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,516

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 Matthew Anderson Superviser AU 2618 Page 7